

## **REMARKS**

In the Official Action mailed on **November 3, 2004** the Examiner reviewed Claims 1-21. Claims 1-7 and 15-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 8-14 were allowed.

### **Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101**

Claims 1-7 and 15-21 were rejected because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicant has canceled claims 1-7 and 15-21 without prejudice to possibly be pursued in a continuation application. Claims 8-14 were previously allowed.

**CONCLUSION**

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By



Edward J. Grundler  
Registration No. 47,615

Date: January 14, 2005

Edward J. Grundler  
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP  
2820 Fifth Street  
Davis, CA 95616  
Tel: (530) 759-1663  
FAX: (530) 759-1665